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## Anhydrous octaguanidinium hexatungstoplatinate(IV)

Very stable crystals of the title compound, $\left(\mathrm{CH}_{6} \mathrm{~N}_{3}\right)_{8}\left[\mathrm{PtW}_{6} \mathrm{O}_{24}\right]$, containing the well known A-type Anderson-Evans heteropolyoxotungstate structure, were obtained by hydrothermal reaction. All O atoms in the polyanion form strong $\mathrm{N}-\mathrm{H} \cdots \mathrm{O}$ hydrogen bonds with all N atoms of the guanidinium ions. The $\left[\mathrm{PtW}_{6} \mathrm{O}_{24}\right]^{8-}$ anion has $S_{6}$ $(\overline{3})$ symmetry, with a $\mathrm{Pt}-\mathrm{O}$ bond length of 2.016 (9) $\AA$, and three types of $\mathrm{W}-\mathrm{O}$ bonds, with distances of 1.74 (1) and $1.78(1)\left(\mathrm{O}_{t}\right), 1.95(1)\left(\mathrm{O}_{b}\right)$, and $2.17(1)\left(\mathrm{O}_{c}\right) \AA$.

## Comment

The guanidinium ion is useful in separating polyoxometalates because of the insolubility of its salts. Since all replaceable counter-cations in the polyoxometalate can be exchanged by guanidinium ions, it is possible to separate the polyoxometalate precipitate from aqueous solution. Good crystals of guanidinium polyoxometalates are not always obtained, but X-ray quality single crystals of the title compound, (I), were recrystallized from hot aqueous solution. Guanidinium polyoxometalates usually crystallize with little or no water of crystallization, e.g. $\left(\mathrm{CH}_{6} \mathrm{~N}_{3}\right)_{4}\left[\mathrm{GeMo}_{12} \mathrm{O}_{40}\right]$ (Strandberg \& Hedman, 1982), $\left(\mathrm{CH}_{6} \mathrm{~N}_{3}\right)_{2}\left[\mathrm{MoO}_{4}\right]$ (Ozeki et al., 1987) and $\left(\mathrm{CH}_{6} \mathrm{~N}_{3}\right)_{4}\left[\mathrm{SiMo}_{12} \mathrm{O}_{40}\right] \cdot \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ (Ichida et al., 1980), but there is one particular case in which more water of crystallization is found, viz. $\left(\mathrm{CH}_{6} \mathrm{~N}_{3}\right)_{4}\left[\mathrm{As}_{2} \mathrm{Mo}_{18} \mathrm{O}_{62}\right] \cdot 9 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ (Ichida \& Sasaki, 1983).

(I)

Na or K salts of A-type Anderson-Evans heteropolytungstate structures (Anderson, 1937; Evans, 1948; Tsigdinos, 1978) containing heteroatoms of high oxidation state, such as $\left[X^{n+} \mathrm{W}_{6} \mathrm{O}_{24}\right]^{(12-n)-}\left[X^{n+}: \mathrm{Te}^{6+}\right.$ (Schmidt et al., 1986), $\mathrm{Sb}^{5+}$ (Lee \& Sasaki, 1988), $\mathrm{Mn}^{4+}$ (Sergienko et al., 1979; Nolan et al., 2000), $\mathrm{Pt}^{4+}$ (Lee et al., 1984)] have been reported. The $\left[\mathrm{PtW}_{6} \mathrm{O}_{24}\right]^{8-}$ anion in $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{~K}_{6}\left[\mathrm{PtW}_{6} \mathrm{O}_{24}\right] \cdot 12 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ (Lee et al., 1984) has $D_{3 d}$ point symmetry, an ideal symmetry in Anderson-Evans polyoxometalate structures. The crystal structure of (I) is a good example of the packing found in polyoxometalates. Here we report the crystal structure of the anhydrous $\left(\mathrm{CH}_{6} \mathrm{~N}_{3}\right)_{8}\left[\mathrm{PtW}_{6} \mathrm{O}_{24}\right]$, in which the Na and K cations
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Figure 1
The anion structure in $\left(\mathrm{CH}_{6} \mathrm{~N}_{3}\right)_{8}\left[\mathrm{PtW}_{6} \mathrm{O}_{24}\right]$. Displacement ellipsoids are drawn at the $50 \%$ probability level.


Formation of hydrogen bonds by guanidinium ions [symmetry codes: (i) $-1 / 3+y, 1 / 3-x+y, 1 / 3-z$; (ii) $-1 / 3-x+y, 1 / 3-x, 1 / 3+z$; (iii) $-y$, $1+x-y, z$; (iv) $-y, 1+x-y, z ;(v)-1-x+y,-x, z$ vi) $y,-x+y,-z]$.
of $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{~K}_{6}\left[\mathrm{PtW}_{6} \mathrm{O}_{24}\right] \cdot 12 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ are completely exchanged by guanidinium ions and the symmetry is reduced from $D_{3 d}(\overline{3} m)$ to $S_{6}(\overline{3})$.

Fig. 1 shows the structure of the $\left[\mathrm{PtW}_{6} \mathrm{O}_{24}\right]^{8-}$ polyanion. The labelling of the O atoms in the polyanion is the same as the labelling in the previous structure (Lee et al., 1984). The $\mathrm{W}-\mathrm{O}$ and $\mathrm{Pt}-\mathrm{O}$ bond distances of (I) agree well with those in $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{~K}_{6}\left[\mathrm{PtW}_{6} \mathrm{O}_{24}\right] \cdot 12 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$. The anion has a structure with point symmetry $S_{6}(\overline{3})$. The $\mathrm{Pt} \cdots \mathrm{W}$ and $\mathrm{W} \cdots \mathrm{W}$ distances are 3.2437 (7) and 3.2443 (7) $\AA$, respectively. The $\mathrm{Pt}-\mathrm{O}$ bond length is 2.016 (9) $\AA$, and there are three types of $\mathrm{W}-\mathrm{O}$ bond


Figure 3
The crystal packing of $\left(\mathrm{CH}_{6} \mathrm{~N}_{3}\right)_{8}\left[\mathrm{PtW}_{6} \mathrm{O}_{24}\right]$ in the unit cell, shown as a polyhedral model. Red circles are O, black circles are C 1 , grey circles are C 2 , and blue circles are N . Violet and cyan octahedra are $\left[\mathrm{PtO}_{6}\right]$ and [ $\mathrm{WO}_{6}$ ], respectively. Hydrogen bonds are shown as blue broken lines.
lengths are $1.74(1)$ and $1.78(1)\left(\mathrm{O}_{t}\right), 1.95(1)\left(\mathrm{O}_{b}\right)$, and 2.17 (1) $\AA\left(\mathrm{O}_{c}\right)$. The bond lengths and angles of the guanidinium ions agree well with those in $\mathrm{CH}_{6} \mathrm{~N}_{3} \mathrm{Cl}$ (Haas et al., 1965) and $\mathrm{CH}_{6} \mathrm{~N}_{3}\left(\mathrm{NO}_{3}\right)$ (Katrusiak, 1994).

Fig. 2 shows the hydrogen-bonding interactions of guanidinium ions with O atoms of the anions. All O atoms in the polyanion form strong $\mathrm{N}-\mathrm{H} \cdots \mathrm{O}$ hydrogen bonds with all N atoms of the guanidinium ions. A list of all hydrogen bonds with $\mathrm{N} \cdots \mathrm{O}$ distances within $3.1 \AA$ is given in Table 2. There are two crystallographically independent guanidinium, $\left(\mathrm{CH}_{6} \mathrm{~N}_{3}\right)^{+}$, ions in the asymmetric unit, one of which lies on a threefold axis. The two guanidinium ions are named Gu1 and Gu2 (the numbering is in accordance with the C atoms). The formula unit contains six Gu1 ions and two Gu2 ions. Almost all hydrogen bonds between anions and guanidinium ions involve Gu1 ions. All $\mathrm{N} \cdots \mathrm{O}$ hydrogen-bond distances fall into the range $2.83-3.10 \AA$, with the range of $\mathrm{N}-\mathrm{H} \cdots \mathrm{O}$ angles varying between 109 and $175^{\circ}$. Fig. 3 shows the unit-cell packing with hydrogen bonding.

The crystal structure of (I) is stabilized by the formation of extensive $\mathrm{N}-\mathrm{H} \cdots \mathrm{O}$ hydrogen-bond interactions involving N atoms of the guanidinium ions and by effective packing. As a result, the crystals are stable, even though water of crystallization is not present in the crystal structure.

## Experimental

A pale-yellow powder of the title compound was obtained by adding a small excess stoichiometric quantity of $\mathrm{CH}_{6} \mathrm{~N}_{3} \mathrm{Cl}$ to a solution of hexatungstoplatinate $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{~K}_{6}\left[\mathrm{PtW}_{6} \mathrm{O}_{24}\right]$ (Lee et al., 1984). Single crystals were obtained by recrystallizing the powdered crude sample from the boiling aqueous solution.

## Crystal data

$\left(\mathrm{CH}_{6} \mathrm{~N}_{3}\right)_{8}\left[\mathrm{PtW}_{6} \mathrm{O}_{24}\right]$
$M_{r}=2162.89$
Trigonal, $R \overline{3}$
$a=12.928$ (2) $\AA$
$c=21.981$ (5) A
$V=3181.6(10) \AA^{3}$
$Z=3$
$D_{x}=3.387 \mathrm{Mg} \mathrm{m}^{-3}$
Mo $K \alpha$ radiation
Cell parameters from 25 reflections
$\theta=13.7-15.7^{\circ}$
$\mu=19.59 \mathrm{~mm}^{-1}$
$T=298$ (2) K
Cube, yellow
$0.10 \times 0.10 \times 0.10 \mathrm{~mm}$

## Data collection

Stoe Stadi4 diffractometer
$\omega / 2 \theta$ scans
Absorption correction: numerical
( $X$-SHAPE; Stoe \& Cie, 1996)
$T_{\text {min }}=0.120, T_{\text {max }}=0.143$
1629 measured reflections
1629 independent reflections
1108 reflections with $I>2 \sigma(I)$

## Refinement

Refinement on $F^{2}$

$$
\begin{gathered}
w=1 /\left[\sigma^{2}\left(F_{o}{ }^{2}\right)+(0.0325 P)^{2}\right. \\
\quad+60.3628 P] \\
\text { where } P=\left(F_{o}{ }^{2}+2 F_{c}^{2}\right) / 3 \\
(\Delta / \sigma)_{\max }<0.001 \\
\Delta \rho_{\max }=1.46 \mathrm{e} \AA^{-3} \\
\Delta \rho_{\min }=
\end{gathered}-2.72 \mathrm{e}^{-3}{ }^{2} .
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \theta_{\max }=27.5^{\circ} \\
& h=-16 \rightarrow 8 \\
& k=0 \rightarrow 16 \\
& l=0 \rightarrow 28 \\
& 3 \text { standard reflections } \\
& \quad \text { frequency: } 60 \text { min } \\
& \text { intensity decay: } 3.5 \%
\end{aligned}
$$

$R\left[F^{2}>2 \sigma\left(F^{2}\right)\right]=0.049$
$w R\left(F^{2}\right)=0.106$
$S=1.18$
1629 reflections
96 parameters

H -atom parameters constrained

Table 1
Selected geometric parameters ( A ).

| $\mathrm{W} \cdots \mathrm{W}^{\mathrm{i}}$ | $3.2443(7)$ | $\mathrm{W}-\mathrm{O} c$ | $2.17(1)$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $\mathrm{Pt} \cdots \mathrm{W}$ | $3.2437(7)$ | $\mathrm{C} 1-\mathrm{N} 1$ | $1.32(2)$ |
| $\mathrm{Pt}-\mathrm{O} c$ | $2.016(9)$ | $\mathrm{C} 1-\mathrm{N} 2$ | $1.33(2)$ |
| $\mathrm{W}-\mathrm{O} t 1$ | $1.78(1)$ | $\mathrm{C} 1-\mathrm{N} 3$ | $1.32(2)$ |
| $\mathrm{W}-\mathrm{O} t 2$ | $1.74(1)$ | $\mathrm{C} 2-\mathrm{N} 4$ | $1.33(2)$ |
| $\mathrm{W}-\mathrm{O} b$ | $1.95(1)$ |  |  |

Symmetry code: (i) $y,-x+y,-z$.

Table 2
Hydrogen-bonding geometry $\left(\AA^{\circ},^{\circ}\right)$.

| $D-\mathrm{H} \cdots A$ | $D-\mathrm{H}$ | $\mathrm{H} \cdots A$ | $D \cdots A$ | $D-\mathrm{H} \cdots A$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $\mathrm{~N} 1-\mathrm{H} 1 A \cdots \mathrm{O} b^{\mathrm{ii}}$ | 0.86 | 1.97 | $2.83(2)$ | 175 |
| $\mathrm{~N} 1-\mathrm{H} 1 B \cdots \mathrm{O} t 1^{\mathrm{i}}$ | 0.86 | 2.37 | $3.10(2)$ | 142 |
| $\mathrm{~N} 2-\mathrm{H} 2 A \cdots \mathrm{O} t 1^{\mathrm{i}}$ | 0.86 | 2.30 | $3.04(3)$ | 145 |
| $\mathrm{~N} 2-\mathrm{H} 2 B \cdots \mathrm{O} t 2^{\mathrm{iii}}$ | 0.86 | 2.18 | $2.94(2)$ | 147 |
| $\mathrm{~N} 2-\mathrm{H} 2 A \cdots \mathrm{O} t 2$ | 0.86 | 2.59 | $2.99(2)$ | 109 |
| $\mathrm{~N} 3-\mathrm{H} 3 A \cdots \mathrm{O} c^{\mathrm{iv}}$ | 0.86 | 1.99 | $2.83(2)$ | 165 |
| $\mathrm{~N} 3-\mathrm{H} 3 B \cdots \mathrm{O} t 2^{\mathrm{iii}}$ | 0.86 | 2.18 | $2.94(2)$ | 148 |
| $\mathrm{~N} 4-\mathrm{H} 4 A \cdots \mathrm{O} t 1^{1}$ | 0.86 | 2.13 | $2.91(2)$ | 150 |

Symmetry codes: (i) $y,-x+y,-z$; (ii) $-\frac{1}{3}-x+y, \frac{1}{3}-x, \frac{1}{3}+z$; (iii) $-y, 1+x-y, z$; (iv) $y-\frac{1}{3}, \frac{1}{3}-x+y, \frac{1}{3}-z$.

The highest peak in the difference map is $1.49 \AA$ from $\mathrm{H} 2 A$ and the largest hole is $0.89 \AA$ from Pt . All H atoms were placed in calculated positions, with $\mathrm{N}-\mathrm{H}$ distances of $0.88 \AA$ and $\mathrm{H}-\mathrm{N}-\mathrm{H}$ angles of $120^{\circ}$. They were included in the refinement in riding model approximation, with $U_{\text {iso }}=1.2 U_{\text {eq }}(\mathrm{N})$.

Data collection: Stadi4 (Stoe \& Cie, 1996); cell refinement: Stadi4; data reduction: $X-R E D$ (Stoe \& Cie, 1996); program(s) used to solve structure: SHELXS97 (Sheldrick, 1997); program(s) used to refine structure: SHELXL97 (Sheldrick, 1997); molecular graphics: ORTEP-3 (Farrugia, 1997) and DIAMOND (Brandenburg, 1998); software used to prepare material for publication: SHELXL97.
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